4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Singh - Plea

- Q. All right. Did you hear what Ms. Perry had said about the summary of the proof she would put on if the case were to go to trial?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. How do you plead to Count One of the information, the bank fraud conspiracy charge, quilty or not quilty?
 - A. Guilty, your Honor.
 - Q. Counts Two through Ten, the substantive bank fraud charges, guilty or not guilty?
 - A. Guilty, your Honor.
- Q. Counts Eleven through Thirteen, the money laundering conspiracy charges, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?
 - A. Guilty.
 - Q. Count Fourteen, the false statements charge, how do you plead, guilty or not guilty?
 - A. Guilty.
 - THE COURT: I really think Count One through Thirteen,
 Mr. Fishbein, a lot of it is just related conduct, so if you
 want to have your client just summarize all the transactions
 and we will cover the immigration charge separately.

(Pause)

A. Your Honor, I was engaged in various checks, fraudulent checks, with Douglas Shyne and others, defrauded financial institutions, several financial institutions, and I am guilty of all the charges.

true?

Singh - Plea

- Q. With respect to the bank fraud conspiracy charge in Count
 One, the allegation is that to further this conspiracy you
 deposited an \$8,500 check into your account in Commerce Bank
 and that was drawn on Richardson Chase Bank account; is that
- 6 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. The other allegation is that to further the conspiracy on or about August 3, 2005 you issued approximately three checks to yourself in a total aggregate amount of \$59,500 drawn on the Cafe Lounge account; is that true?
- 11 | A. Yes.

5

7

8

9

- Q. You understood that those checks that were involved were bad checks, that they were fraudulent and counterfeit, that they were bad checks, did you understand that?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- Q. You engaged in this conspiracy with at least one other person?
- 18 | A. Yes, your Honor.
- 19 | Q. Did you know what you were doing was illegal?
- 20 A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. And did something take place in the Southern District of
 New York, in Manhattan or the Bronx? Were these accounts in
 Manhattan?
- 24 A. Some of the accounts were in Manhattan.
- 25 | Q. With respect to the Commerce Bank account, was that in

73JCSINP Singh - Plea

1 | Manhattan?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

 $2 \parallel A$. No, that was in New Jersey.

THE COURT: Are there any accounts in Manhattan here that are affected or involved in this conspiracy, Ms. Perry?

MS. PERRY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Other accounts other than Ms. Singh's?

MS. PERRY: Correct, your Honor.

- Q. Did this conspiracy go from approximately December 2002 to till approximately August of '05?
- A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. With respect to the substantive bank fraud charges, the specific checks that the government alleges you were involved in, Count Two deals with March through August '04 \$775,000 stolen check that affected Wachovia Bank, can you tell me what you did in connection with that check?
- A. I along with my other co-conspirators stole the check and deposited it.
- 18 | Q. All right. You knew what you were doing was illegal?
- 19 | A. Yes.

THE COURT: Again, is Wachovia bank a Manhattan account or Manhattan bank?

MS. PERRY: I am sorry?

THE COURT: Is Wachovia a Manhattan bank?

MS. PERRY: There are certain branches here, but it was not drawn -- the check was stolen in Manhattan. That is

Singh - Plea

- 1 | the basis for venue here.
- Q. Ms. Perry is saying the check was stolen in Manhattan. Is that true?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. Count Three alleges that between October and December of
 '04, there was a \$150,000 check involving Bank of America. Can
 you tell me what you did in connection with that charge?
- 8 A. Yes, your Honor, I deposited that check.
 - Q. Did you know it was a bad check or have reason to believe it was a bad check?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. You deposited it in Bank of America?
- 13 | A. Yes.

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

- 14 | THE COURT: And venue on this, Ms. Perry?
 - MS. PERRY: With respect to each of those three charges, there is venue whether -- because the check was deposited in Manhattan, because the checks drawn off that account were deposited into Manhattan banks. I think Ms. Singh would waive venue or agree at least that there is a venue in each of those.
 - MR. FISHBEIN: That is correct.
 - MS. PERRY: The check, your Honor, I believe was drawn on Bank of America, it was not deposited in Bank of America.
- 24 | THE COURT: All right.
 - Q. Count Four, Ms. Singh, between December '04 and May of '05

73JCSINP Singh - Plea

- there were three checks totalling \$905,000, the bank involved or a bank involved was Wachovia. Would you tell me what you did in connection with that charge?
 - A. I knew of the check, I knew of the check, that my co-conspirators were working on the check, but I helped type the names on the check. I guess that's about it.

THE COURT: So you helped type the names. Was this part of the counterfeiting exercise?

A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

- Q. All right. Count Five --
- MR. FISHBEIN: I am sorry, Judge, it wasn't part of the counterfeiting. It was part of making of the changing back and forth of the checks, so for deposit purposes, to wash the money through.
- Q. What Mr. Fishbein says, is that accurate?
- 16 | A. Yes, that is. I also put money into my account.
- 17 | Q. From those checks, the \$905,000?
- 18 | A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Count Five, between March and May of '05 two checks totalling \$1 million involving Wachovia. What did you
- 21 do in connection with that charge?
- A. Your Honor, I was aware that those checks were going down to Virginia, and I discussed with it Mr. Shyne, and I hoped to profit from those checks.
 - Q. Did you profit from those checks?

Singh - Plea

1 | A. No.

2

3

4

5

9

23

24

- Q. Did you do anything --
- MR. FISHBEIN: She hoped to profit.
- Q. Hoped. did you have any involvement in these checks? Did you do anything?
- A. Just knowing -- I participated in conversations, your Honor.
- 8 | O. With whom?
 - A. Douglas Shyne.
- 10 | Q. What was discussed during these conversations with Mr.
- 11 | Shyne that helped this part of the operation succeed?
- 12 A. That he was sending checks down to Virginia and he is
 13 sending it to -- who he is sending it to.
- MS. PERRY: Just a moment, your Honor.

 (Pause)
- 16 Q. Ms. Singh, go ahead.
- A. Your Honor. I knew from that arrangement when they were -that he was sending the checks down to Virginia, that I would
 be gaining something back from it when the checks come back,
 laundered through the account.
- Q. But did you do anything that just going to let people allow you to profit from them? What did you do to get a profit?
 - A. A check was given to Richardson, and part of that check was given to me to deposit to my account.
 - Q. Then what happened from there?

Singh - Plea

- A. The check -- I deposited the check into my account. It never cleared.
 - Q. So this was supposed to be part of the same checks, a total of \$1 million?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

9

Q. All right. Count Six, between March and May of '05 two checks, total of \$775,000. What did you do in connection with that charge?

(Pause)

- 10 Q. I think we are at Count Six, March through May of '05, 11 checks totaling \$775,000, Ms. Singh.
- 12 A. Your Honor, with respect to that check I was aware that a
 13 check was -- American Express check was sent in my name to me.
- I was told that check was remade from a larger amount, how much
- 15 | I can't remember right now, but I was aware of it.
- 16 Q. Did you do anything with the check?
- 17 A. No, I did not do anything with the check.
- 18 Q. Did anybody else do anything with the check?
- 19 A. I think Douglas Shyne remade the check and sent it to 20 whoever in Virginia.
- 21 | Q. So the check was in your name?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. So you deposited the check?
- A. No, he had the check, he remade the check, remade the check

 for I believe that amount that is stated here.

Singh - Plea

Q. There is two checks totaling \$775,000, so I am a little confused.

(Pause)

- A. I was aware that the American Express check was remade over, there was something wrong with it, and there was a second check also, Euro Motor check that was remade and came to my account.
- Q. What did you do with that?
 - A. I deposited it.
- Q. So the \$58,000 check, the other one was \$717,000 to make 775?
- MS. PERRY: No, your Honor, let me just clarify.

 There are actually three checks in connection with that
 that were deposited by Timothy Montgomery and his associates.

 One check was for \$575,000. The original of that check was a
 check issued by American Express to Ms. Singh. It was a
 merchant account for a business, New York Cafe Lounge. Ms.

 Singh was aware that that American Express check was being
 altered, and she went along with that

THE COURT: How was it altered?

MS. PERRY: It was altered, it's not -- it's other co-conspirators that handled this aspect of it, but they scanned it and they made adjustments that changed the amount from \$7.12 \$575,000, they changed the payee, and they put it on check stock essentially, and then that check was then sent to

25

check.

Singh - Plea

Timothy Montgomery sports agent in Texas, and Ms. Singh was 1 2 aware of it. 3 THE COURT: So the check was originally made out to her, the \$7 check, and then others in the group altered it or 4 5 made it a new check totaling 575? 6 MS. PERRY: That's correct, your Honor. The check was 7 actually made out, the payee was New York Cafe Lounge of which 8 she is a principal, and then there was another check for 9 \$550,000 that was also deposited by Mr. Montgomery's agent that is not charged here and then the third -- the second check 10 referenced in Count Six is a check that was originally issued 11 12 against New York Cafe Lounge in the amount of \$58,000 from an 13 automobile dealership in Florida. That check actually was 14 deposited by Ms. Singh into the New York Cafe Lounge account. 15 It subsequently was altered in the way I just described where 16 the scanning and changing the amount and the payee, and that check was sent to Timothy Montgomery with a new payment amount 17 18 of \$200,000, and Ms. Singh was also aware that this check was altered in the way I just described. 19 20 THE COURT: So did she deposit the check that was \$200,000? 21 22 MS. PERRY: She deposited \$58,000 check. 23 THE COURT: It became \$200,000. 24 MS. PERRY: It later was scanned and became a \$200,000

She was aware the check was being deposited.

25

Singh - Plea

1 In effect, it was deposited twice. THE COURT: 2 MS. PERRY: They changed the check number so it wasn't 3 the same check. THE COURT: 4 But same check was used twice, once 5 directly and once during the --6 MS. PERRY: I wouldn't really say that. One check was 7 used and deposited, and then the photocopy of that check, if 8 you will, was used in a way, but the image of that check was 9 used twice. 10 THE COURT: Her deposit of the \$58,000 check, how did that help advance the scheme? 11 12 I wouldn't say it advanced it in itself, 13 but she was aware that this check was being used to create a 14 new check. 15 THE COURT: How does her being aware that a crime is 16 being committed make her quilty of that crime? 17 MS. PERRY: I think she could say -- I think it's a 18 tough question, but because she is pleading pursuant to this 19 plea agreement, I think we all would agree that she was -- she 20 lived with Shyne, she was aware certainly generally, in some 21 cases specifically, of checks that he was having his associates 22 alter, and she would consult with him, at times they would discuss the amounts, the check would then be altered. She was 23 24 aware they were being sent to co-conspirators, and many times

when those checks actually cleared she would move money through

73JCSINP Singh - Plea

1 her account.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So it's certainly fair to say that she discussed it with Mr. Shyne in furtherance of the conspiracy, but on many occasions in particular with those checks with the Virginia defendants, she didn't do a whole lot of overt acts.

THE COURT: The problem is that Count Six is a conspiracy count, of course, and while generally speaking her role might have been among other things consultation, I haven't really heard anything about these checks other than she knew what was going on.

MS. PERRY: Your Honor, could I have just one moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Can I make a suggestion? There is a case that's been waiting for a long time. Can I just take a break on this case while you all talk?

MS. PERRY: I think we are just about done, and then we are going to be done with the plea.

THE COURT: You are sure, because there is four other counts.

MS. PERRY: There are not going to be any issues like this with the other counts. She herself did something overt in those cases.

THE COURT: All right.

(Pause)

Singh - Plea

MS. PERRY: Your Honor with respect to Count Six, I think it is fair to say that while she knew about the checks generally, she herself did not do anything overt with respect to those checks; and so I am going to request that she actually -- that we take this out of the information and have her not plead to this because it doesn't seem she can plead to having done something substantively with respect to those checks.

THE COURT: I think, given what I have heard, that is a prudent thing to do because I understand that generally speaking she may have had certain roles, but as to this one particular episode I don't think it's there. All right, Count Seven. We will figure out the logistics of this later.

BY THE COURT:

Q. Count Seven, between June and July of '05, \$175,000 check from Wachovia. Ms. Singh, what did you do in connection with this check?

(Pause)

- A. Your Honor, in respect to that \$175,000 check, I was aware that some funds from the check was given to my mother to deposit to her account, and then she in return would write a check back to me against New York Cafe Lounge to deposit into my account, and through that I would have some gain from that check.
- Q. You knew the check you got from your mother was proceeds of

73JCSINP Singh - Plea

- 1 | a bad check?
 - A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. The check, total amount of that check was \$175,000?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.
 - MS. PERRY: Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Count Eight, between May and July of '05 a \$375,000 check,
 Bank of America.
 - A. Your Honor, in reference to that check, I was aware that a check that was given -- it was a refund check from college whereby that was given back to me in my daughter's name. I was aware that Mr. Shyne was going to alter the check. The amount, I wasn't aware of the amount of how these charges came about; and due to all of that I was aware that somewhere along the line the money would channel back to me in my account, and I would get some kind of gain from that.

THE COURT: So was your depositing the check part of the scheme?

- A. No, I believe he gave somebody else the check to deposit, but had the check gone through, they would have write a check to me or however he designed it, a check would come back to me, so I would deposit in my account and get some type of gain.
- Q. So the check didn't make it through is that what happened?
- A. I believe the check did not go through.

THE COURT: Ms. Perry, is that right?

Singh - Plea

1 MS. PERRY: Yes.

- Q. So the plan was to have you get the proceeds of that check?
- 3 | A. Yes.

8

- 4 | Q. Count Nine, June '05, \$108,000 check.
- 5 A. Your Honor, I participated in this by depositing the check
- 6 knowing it was a bad check, deposited in an account for
- 7 Nathaniel Shyne, that's his brother.
 - Q. Which account did you deposit it in?
 - A. In Nathaniel Shyne's account.
- 10 | Q. Which bank?
- 11 | A. Commerce Bank.
- 12 | THE COURT: Was it drawn on a Wachovia Bank account?
- 13 | Is that what it was, Ms. Perry?
- 14 MS. PERRY: Yes.
- THE COURT: Ms. Singh didn't allocute to that.
- 16 Q. All right. Finally, Count Ten, between July and August of
- 17 | '05, two checks totalling \$150,000.
- 18 | A. Your Honor, I was aware of this check because \$73,000 was
- 19 | written out to my name to deposit into my account.
- 20 Q. Was the \$73,000 a bad check?
- 21 | A. The \$73,000 was -- I believe it's a good check from one of
- 22 | the persons who deposited the bad check and the funds became
- 23 | available.
- 24 | Q. So it was the proceeds of a bad check?
- 25 | A. Yes.

Q. All right. In connection with Counts Two through Five and Seven to Ten, you knew what you were doing was illegal?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. The dates that are mentioned there, are they roughly accurate?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Counts Eleven and Twelve and Thirteen are separate money laundering activity, they just deal with different time periods. There is some overlap.

Count eleven, that you and at least one other person laundered money between 2003 and August 2005, and the specific overt act, is that on or about July 28, 2004 to August 16, 2004, you deposited approximately four checks totaling \$180,000 drawn on the Citibank account of Christine Richardson into an account at the Bank of America maintained in the name of Douglas New York Five Star Cafe, Douglas New York Five Star Cafe, a shell corporation used to launder the proceeds of the fraudulent activity. What did you do in connection this conspiracy?

- A. I was aware that the check that I was depositing into that, that was New York Cafe Lounge, was proceeds of a bad check.
- Q. Was the idea behind this to clean the money or other least give it the appearance of being clean?
 - A. Yes, I believe that the plan was to deposit the money into the account, withdraw the cash out, so that was paying these --

- Q. The money laundering conspiracy charged, you knew what you were doing was illegal?
- ∥ A. Yes.

THE COURT: Again, is venue waived here or otherwise conceded?

MR. FISHBEIN: Yes.

- Q. Count Twelve, separate money laundering conspiracy in March to May of 2005, the overt acts that relate to you are the deposit of the \$72,400 check in your Commerce Bank account, April of '05, and then the separate depositing of \$8,500 check into the Commerce Bank account. What did you do in connection that conspiracy charge?
- A. Your Honor I deposited those checks knowing that those proceeds was from a bad check.
 - Q. Was this part of a scheme to hide the proceeds of the bank fraud?
 - A. Yes, this was the -- I guess the idea also was to get cash for them to pay what he needed to pay.
 - Q. This involved you and at least one other person? You don't have to tell me who but at least one other person?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Finally, Count Thirteen of money laundering conspiracy count between June and August of '05, you and at least one other person conspired to violate the money laundering statute.

5

8

9

13

14

2.1

25

Singh - Plea

- Here the overt acts are that on June 8, '05 you deposited a counterfeit check in the amount of approximately \$180,000, in August 3, '05 you issued at least three checks to yourself s totaling aggregate amount of approximately \$59,500. What did
- A. Your Honor, I was aware of the \$180,000 check, that it was a bad check and I deposited it into Nathaniel Shyne's account.
 - Q. But you deposited it yourself?

you do in connection with that conspiracy?

- A. I deposited the check, yes, into Nathaniel Shyne's account.
- Q. And the other issuing at least three checks to yourself totaling \$59,000, was that the proceeds of bank fraud?
- 12 A. Yes, your Honor, it came from that.
 - Q. In connection with all these money laundering conspiracy counts, you knew what you were doing was illegal?
- 15 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: Interstate commerce was affected, Ms.
- 17 || Perry?
- 18 MS. PERRY: Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Finally, the false statement count. In May of 2004 did you speak with folks from the Immigration and Naturalization
- 22 A. Yes, your Honor.

Service?

- Q. Did you tell them that you had never committed a crime or offense for which you had not been arrested?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.

Singh - Plea

- 1 | Q. Was that true?
- 2 A. I gave them a misstatement, your Honor, because the
- 3 | question was, I believe I am not committing a crime or have I
- 4 committed a crime, and I said no, and I was --
- 5 Q. What crime were you committing. Is that was bank fraud?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And money laundering?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. This occurred in May of 2004?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Did this take place in New York or is venue waived here?
- 12 | A. In New York.
- MS. PERRY: Venue is waived, in any event.
- 14 MR. FISHBEIN: It is waived.
- 15 | THE COURT: I was just making sure.
- 16 MR. FISHBEIN: Not a issue.
- 17 | Q. You knew it was illegal?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 THE COURT: Does counsel believe there is anything
- 20 | else by way of allocution to any of these charges? Ms. Perry?
- MS. PERRY: No, your Honor. I would just also note
- 22 | that she has consented to this forfeiture allegation on the
- 23 proceeds of these crimes.
- 24 | THE COURT: All right, and Mr. Fishbein, anything else
- 25 | by way of allocution?

23

24

25

Singh - Plea

1 MR. FISHBEIN: Not that I can think of, your Honor. 2 Do counsel agree there is a sufficient THE COURT: 3 factual basis for me to accept the plea? 4 MS. PERRY: Yes, your Honor. 5 MR. FISHBEIN: Yes, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. I agree. 7 Ms. Singh, since you have acknowledged you are in fact 8 guilty as charged in the fourteen-count superseding 9 information, since you know your trial rights, what the maximum 10 possible punishment is in terms of imprisonment, supervised 11 release, and fine, since I have already found you competent to 12 enter this plea, and I hereby find you voluntarily entered this 13 plea, I accept your plea and enter a judgment of quilty in the 14 case. 15 MR. FISHBEIN: We should wait. 16 Eventually, Ms. Singh, the Probation THE COURT: 17 Department is going to prepare a presentence report. They do 18 that because they try to get as much information about you and 19 the crimes as possible so they can inform me, and I use that 20 report to determine what the appropriate sentence is in your 21 When they do their investigation, they will talk to a 22 lot of people, about the government, talk to your family, they

will tell talk to you. Of course, Mr. Fishbein will be present

and you should answer whatever questions Mr. Fishbein says you

can answer, but make sure that whenever you talk you speak the